


DECLARATION

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that, on January 11, 2001, I caused a copy of this document to be served upon Michelle Slack, U.S. Department of Justice, counsel for defendants, by depositing with an overnight courier for overnight delivery.

CC TO JUDGE KN

THE HONORABLE BARBARA JACOBS ROTHSTEIN



 FILED _____ ENTERED _____
 LODGED _____ RECEIVED _____

 FILED _____ ENTERED _____
 LODGED _____ RECEIVED _____

JAN 11 2001 KN

 AT SEATTLE
 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
 BY _____

 AT SEATTLE
 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
 DEPUTY
 BY _____

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
 AT SEATTLE

IRINA GORBACH, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JANET RENO, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. C-98-0278R

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
 MOTION FOR PERMANENT
 INJUNCTION

The matter came regularly before the Court on the motion of plaintiffs Irina Gorbach, et al. for summary judgment and permanent injunction. The Court has considered the motion, any response and any reply, and the entire record herein. Being fully advised herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, in accordance with the opinion and mandate of the Court of Appeals in Gorbach v. Reno, 219 F.3d 1087, 1091 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc), the aforesaid motion shall be and hereby is GRANTED; and it is

Further ORDERED that there is no dispute as to any fact material to Count I of plaintiffs' complaint, and that plaintiffs are entitled to summary judgment on that claim; and it is

1 Further ORDERED that plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law and are entitled to a
2 permanent injunction; and it is
3
4

5 Further ORDERED that defendants Janet Reno, Doris Meissner, the Immigration and
6 Naturalization Service, any and all of their successors, officers, agents, servants, employees, and
7 attorneys, and any persons in active concert or participation with defendants shall be, and hereby are,
8 permanently ENJOINED from applying or enforcing the regulations found at 8 C.F.R. § 340.1, from
9 initiating or continuing administrative denaturalization proceedings pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 340.1,
10 and from denying to any individual the rights or benefits of United States citizenship as the result of
11 such proceedings; and it is
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 Further ORDERED that defendants shall forthwith reinstate and return all certificates of
20 naturalization heretofore revoked as a result of proceedings conducted pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 340.1;
21 and it is
22
23
24

25 Further ORDERED that Counts II and III of plaintiffs' complaint be, and hereby are,
26 DISMISSED AS MOOT WITHOUT PREJUDICE; and it is
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

1 Further ORDERED that defendants shall send notification of this order to all class members
2
3 in the form attached hereto.
4


5 Further ORDERED that final judgment be entered in favor of plaintiffs in accordance with
6
7 this order.
8

9 ENTERED this 13th day of February, 2001.
10


11
12
13 
14 THE HONORABLE BARBARA JACOBS ROTHSTEIN
15

16 Presented by:
17

18 PERKINS COIE LLP
19

20
21 
22 By David J. Burman, WSBA #10611
23 Victor Cerda, WSBA #28532
24 1201 Third Avenue, 40th Floor
25 Seattle, WA 98101-3099
26
27
28
29

30 GIBBS HOUSTON PAUW
31

32
33 
34 By Robert H. Gibbs, WSBA #5932
35 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 1210
36 Seattle, WA 98101
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

NOTICE TO PERSONS WHO WERE ISSUED NOTICES OF INTENT TO REVOKE CITIZENSHIP

According to INS records, you were issued a Notice of Intent to Revoke Citizenship from the INS. This is to inform you that INS is permanently enjoined from continuing with the process of administrative denaturalization.

The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on July 20, 2000, held that the INS was without the statutory authority to administratively revoke the U.S. citizenship of naturalized citizens. *Gorbach v. Reno*, 219 F.3d 1087 (9th Cir. 2000). Therefore, the INS will not be applying and enforcing its administrative denaturalization regulations and will not be denying U.S. citizenship as a result of these regulations. The INS may still elect to pursue judicial revocation of naturalization.

If you have questions about the application of the injunction to your case, please contact class counsel: Linton Joaquin, National Immigration Law Center, 3455 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 2850, Los Angeles, CA 90010; (213) 639-3900; <http://www.nilc.org>.

**NOTICE TO PERSONS WHOSE UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP WAS RESCINDED
BY INS BASED ON ALLEGED FRAUD OR MISTAKE**

According to INS records, your U.S. citizenship was revoked by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) prior to the issuance on July 9, 1998 of a preliminary injunction stopping such administrative denaturalizations. This is to inform you that INS is required to reinstate your citizenship and return your certificate of citizenship.

The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on July 20, 2000, held that the INS was without the statutory authority to administratively revoke the U.S. citizenship of naturalized citizens. *Gorbach v. Reno*, 219 F.3d 1087 (9th Cir. 2000).

Therefore, citizenship will be reinstated and all certificates of citizenship which were revoked under the regulations will be returned. The INS will not be applying and enforcing its administrative denaturalization regulations and will not be denying U.S. citizenship as a result of these regulations. The INS may still elect to pursue judicial revocation of naturalization.

If you have questions about the application of the injunction to your case, please contact class counsel: Linton Joaquin, National Immigration Law Center, 3455 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 2850, Los Angeles, CA 90010; (213) 639-3900; <http://www.nilc.org>.